

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/761,449		01/20/2004	Mark O. Olson	1579	3048	
23518	7590	05/16/2006		EXAMINER		
		TEMS, INC.	DUNN, DAVID R			
	PATENT DEPARTMENT 7000 NINETEEN MILE ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
STERLING	STERLING HEIGHTS, MI 48314					
				DATE MAILED: 05/16/200	DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/761,449	OLSON, MARK O.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David Dunn	3616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	ebruary 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,12,16 and 18-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,12,16 and 18-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>26</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed February 28, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: 1.
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite 2. for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 recites the limitations "the first set of restrictions" in line 10 and "the second set of restrictions" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Additionally it is noted that claim 18 recites "a second set of restrictions"; it is unclear if this is the same set of restrictions as recited in claim 16 or not.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bakhsh 4. et al. (US 6,527,296).

Art Unit: 3616

Bakhsh et al. ('296) discloses an airbag comprising a first inflatable chamber (see Figure 2) having a plurality of first restrictions (10; left side of airbag) extending upward from a periphery of the airbag, and a second plurality of restrictions (70, in central portion of airbag) extending downward from a periphery, wherein the restrictions are misaligned horizontally relative to opposing individual restrictions, the restrictions configured to restrict the inflatable region between adjacent restrictions and configured to permit the inflatable region to achiev a maximum width in a region between opposing restrictions (region below "14").

The airbag includes a third set of joints formed in a U-shape (190, also a central restriction formed by 70).

5. Claims 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bakhsh et al. (US 6,899,350).

Bakhsh et al. discloses an airbag (see Figure 2) including a first inflatable region having a plurality of restrictions (outer restrictions 140, also 160, and 92) peripherally located about the inflatable region, the restrictions configured to permit the inflatable region to achieve its maximum inflatable size in a central region, the restrictions extending from a top uninflated portion (for example, the uninflated portion above restrictions 140), wherein end portions of the restrictions are generally opposingly facing relative to other restrictions (see bottom end of 160 facing end of left side 140; also right side 140 faces end of 92).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3616

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bakhsh et al. ('296) in view of Heigl (US 6,273,456).

Bakhsh et al. is discussed above and fails to show a stress reducing structure.

Heigh teaches airbag restrictions having stress reducing structures (3 1, 33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bakhsh et al. with the teachings of Heigl to provide the restrictions with stress reducing structures in order to protect the airbag from producing concentrated stress at the termination points of the restrictions.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 25 is allowed.
- 9. Claim 26 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 16, and 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3616

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Dunn whose telephone number is 571-272-6670. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Dunn

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3616